Attendees:

- Jacques Cayuela (JC - Bull)
- Pierre-Yves Gibello (PYB - Experlog)
- Christophe Ney (CN - Wanager)
- Ricardo Jimenes (RJ - UPM)
- Petr Tuma (PT - Charles University)
- Laurent Laudinet (LL - Thalès)
- Xavier Moghrabi (XM - Inria)

Proposed Agenda

- Charter and composition of the TC

Composition:

- JC: hope to finish the charter in order to involve developers/members of the community
- JC: define rules to invite people to join the TC and have a composed TC in a month
- JC: strategic members and MO must provide a representative in the TC, any members can send motivated letters to the TC
- LL: one project can appoint a representative to the TC
- CN: 1st rule: being an ObjectWeb member
- JC: for individual members, TC needs at least a restriction (vote or veto)
- LL: everyone is accepted except if a councilor uses its veto
- PYB: no complex rules at that time, the problem is about voting due to definition "in good standings"
- JC: “Good standings”: need to write about the participation
- PYB: Evaluation of standings ?
- JC: does the TC really need to evaluate ?
- PYB: concerns about vote, if a councilor doesn't participate and suddenly decided to vote
- JC: “Good standings” point is still open
- CN: Go on for 15 minutes,
- LL: for openness, we should allow a vote for "good standings" evaluation
- PYB: councilors have to demonstrate, they are in good standings
- CN: case when a councilor leading a project move it to an other community
- PYB: the councilor should retired from the TC
- LL: the councilor should stay if he's still active
- PT: the point is activity, whenever there is a vote a councilor should prove by a small paragraph he is active (participating in audioconf, discussion, animation)
- XM: a member should engage in the TC of one year, for the next-year participation he should prove that he was in good standings and tell the other councilors he still want to be in the TC
- PT: once a year the chairman take the list of councilors and select active councilors
- CN: once a year and for the entrance, each councilors send an email to explain what they've achieved and why they want to renew their participation in the council + vote should be motivated

=> discussion to be continued on the mailing list

Definition of an active councilor:

- CN: attending the audioconf is not enough
- JC: councilor can be active by mail or document producing
- CN: votes won't take place during audio, a vote would last 1 week, vote mechanism will be open to any members, vote should be mandatory
- LL: mandatory vote ? what about if a council has no competence on the subject ?
- CN: a motivated blank vote should be accepted
- JC: for good standings the objective is to involve members and not to exclude them
- CN: affiliate and individual members would be eligible to join the TC with a motivated request
- JC: representative of a project is eligible to be in the TC
- CN: leading a project is sufficient to be considered as a motivated request, TC can not reject a representative of a project
• CN: strategic members and MO must provide a councilor in good standings
• RJ: obligation for strategic members should be defined in a higher level

Specialized groups:
• JC: good idea but not possible at this time
• PT: a council won't be active if he is not an expert of a technology/activity
• LL: a councilor may ask to create a specialized group
• JC: propose to focus about the composition of TC, the specialized-group concept will be added in the charter