Agenda

SQuAT
- Antelink Release Cooker
- Sonar
- Other

Infrastructure status
- GIT
- Nexus
- Project XWiki migration
- Security

New projects, graduations, mentors
- OW2 Utility/toolkit project
- OW2 Specification project
- Kerneos: potential new project

Technology Council
- Evolutions of the TC Web space
- TC cleanup

Miscellaneous
- OW2 Blog aggregator
- Featured Contest
- Presentation of EasySOA

SQuAT

Antelink Release Cooker

SBT: Introduction of Antelink and Antelink's products.

Goal: source code traceability (where does this code come from?)
Tests on a huge code base (600000 open source projects) whether a file comes from a known open source project.
Release cooker, internal traceability, which links to Antepedia.
This scans source files, archives, but also images.
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Current known limitations, which are in the roadmap:
- scan GIT repositories
- automatically scans all embedded archives

Antelink has offered to scan all mature OW2 projects, which would then be available from the SQuAT dashboard of the project. Projects already tested are Bonita, Talend, ASM. Their policy is to be free for open source projects. Antelink would also appreciate feedback from OW2 projects, in order to improve their product.

**SBT** questions whether Fossology can identify the license of an embedded library. SBT reminds that, even when embedding a library, there is an obligation to be able to redistribute the source code. Thus, projects should really also archive/download the source code corresponding to the embedded jar files.

Nuxeo is interested, in particular wrt their American customers. However, from a "marketing" point of view, BlackDuck is known better. **SBT** agrees that they need to raise their visibility.

Next steps:
- OW2 sends Antelink a list of OW2 projects to be tested (SVN address). They need to know which folder is used to build the release (e.g. trunk, or branch).
- Inform TC and project leaders about deployment of Antelink for all mature projects, and ask for volunteer incubator projects.
- JASMINe and Nuxeo are already candidates.

**SBT** notes that natural target projects are those with activity, and also those who ask themselves a lot of questions.

**Sonar**

We need to publish the SONAR rules proposed by Patrice Truong van Nga. Sonar requires continuous integration and test.

Note that continuous integration requires a lot of CPU, and we need additional hardware to do it. **FBE** asks whether OW2 has thought about using other type of machines, e.g. OVH, or why not eNovance. This could be a possibility for continuous integration (resources on the cloud for continuous integration, and physical machines for the

**BPE** suggest discussing with OVH to push OW2 middleware in the stack they offer.

We also need to plan better for hardware upgrade and replacement for OW2 machines in general, to be established with Jeremy.
**Other**

- Fossology: we are ready to deploy. May need to develop some additional scripts/queries.
- Qualipso MOSST, OMM (tests pending)
- CSTC (tests pending)
- TSRR (installation pending)

**Infrastructure status**

Note: Absence of JCA (our sysadmin)

**GIT**

OW2 now has a Gitorious installation [http://gitorious.ow2.org](http://gitorious.ow2.org). The http access for Gitorious is read-only for now.

For now, there are only a few empty projects (SlapOS, JOnAS, CAROL, EasyBeans, JASMINe).

Nuxeo has part of the code on GitHub, and part of the code on their own infrastructure using Mercurial.

**Action item:** advertise [http://gitorious.ow2.org](http://gitorious.ow2.org)

Note that Jean-Paul Smets has a script to replicate/push/synchronize on multiple repositories.

**Action ALE:** to ask JPS to submit his script.

**Nexus**

Nexus is now centralized with central.

When a release is pushed on our local repository, number of rules are applied before it is pushed to central.

So, projects must respect those rules.


**Action GSA:** Advertise this to all project leaders.

Other discussion includes when to close the old repository.

Proposed deadline is 15 September, for copying to Nexus what they used to have on the old repository.

Also note that Antelink has opened a copy of central and of the JBoss repo.
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It is available at maven.antelink.com and located in France, which fast access from France.  
**Action item:** publicize this information to project leaders and the TC.

---

**Project XWiki migration**

We now have XWiki 2.7 testing deployment for project websites.  
**JCA** has started migrating JOnAS, JASMI\(\)ne and EasyBeans.

**Action item:** check with **JCA** what is the status of this migration:  
- Is the migration finished?
- What about macros, which are common between projects? Are they still be shared? How are they referenced?

---

**Security**

**EDA** reminds that Philippe Langlois (hackitoergosum) is still awaiting from OW2 feedback, contact.  
**ADE** will get Philippe to **GSA** and **ALE**

---

**New projects, graduations, mentors**

No feedback from m2net from Bull.  
**Action ALE:** to remind François Exertier about closing the link between m2net and OSAMI.  
**Action ALE:** to re-contact the submitters of snmp4cloud  
**Action ALE and CTH:** to remind JP Smets to submit ERP5.

We still need a mentor for Slap\(\)OS.  
**Action ALE:** to ask Jean-Paul Smets about this.

---

**OW2 Utility/toolkit project**

See **GSA** e-mail from 27 April listing the potential modules/repositories for this potential project.  
**GSA** will soon submit the project.

There seems to be interest from **MDU** and Christophe Hamerling for using and/or contributing to this project.

The proposed license could be Apache (see the discussion thread), with potential agreement from other contributors.

---
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**Action GSA:** to extract the list of copyright holders, and to prepare a project submission.

---

**OW2 Specification project**

The idea is a project to contain all APIs of specifications or standards. This targets all projects who implements specifications of standards.

This could include:
- JavaEE APIs
- other Sun APIs (JBI, Portal, JMS)
- OSGi APIs
- cloud standards
- other standards

Note that all such APIs would/could/should become OSGi-aware.

Similarly to utility/toolkit, this would be one single project with multiple repositories. Similarly, the license should probably be changed to Apache license.

**Action GSA:** to send an explanatory e-mail describing this goal, in order to gather feedback.

---

**Kerneos: potential new project**

This correspond to a dynamic console framework, which is modular and customizable.
It uses Flex on the client side, Granit DS for remoting, and Java on the server side.
The idea is to have one console with modules which can be interchangeable.

This is already used by JOnAS for the JOnAS admin console, by JASMINe for its console, EasyBeans console.
The current version is not OSGi aware, but the backend is currently evolving to become OSGi aware (kerneos module and bundles).

---

**Technology Council**

**Evolutions of the TC Web space**

Manage project lifecycle, TC members management, ...


How to automate creating the projects ?
Note that the current process for submitting projects is not satisfactory ([http://forge.ow2.org/register/projectinfo.php](http://forge.ow2.org/register/projectinfo.php)) because:

1. it reserves the unix project name
2. it is not updatable
3. it produces an unreadable e-mail

The suggestion is to manage this with XWiki, using:

1. an XWiki form for inputting the project submission: This would be a page accessible only for XWiki users (logged). This would allow updates.
2. TC Chairman and CTO validate the proposal. Upon validation, the proposal is accepted.
3. The project appears in the proposal space. The proposal needs a status: submitted, accepted or refused.
4. The details of the vote should only be accessible to TC members

Note that this requires evolutions to the XWiki polling application:
- possibility to add comments, and to display these comments

Next steps:
- **GSA** to work on the proposal submission form
- Contact XWiki about the poll application

Upon project acceptation:
- automate the creation of a Dashboard for the project, with the project name
- add the project submitter to the TC mailing list
- check with **JCA** what needs to be created (which requires to revise what we offer to projects)

---

**TC cleanup**

Following the 10 March TC meeting,

"We are sorry to inform you that, due to lack of activity, you have been unsubscribed from the TC mailing list.

*If you wish to participate in the Technology Council activities, please re-subscribe, or suggest another TC representative for your project."

---

**Action GSA and ALE:** to review the TC list.

Question of opening the TC mailing list to new people:
- What should be the criteria to accept new members?
- Which process?
- What are the impacts on votes?

Idea of co-optation of new members (upon proposal of a new TC member, there should be a presentation - bio/statement of interest, discussion and vote)
Who has voting right? For the moment, everyone has a voting right. If we notice abuse, we will act.

**Miscellaneous**

**OW2 Blog aggregator**

http://planet.objectweb.org
Ask JCA to move it to planet.ow2.org
Check with MO about this RSS aggregation
Ask individual members/project leaders/TC to send us their RSS

Evolutions:
- sort out RSS flows in other languages than English (French, German, Chinese)

**Featured Contest**

Idea of electing the “featured developer”, “featured project”.
- How do we set it up?
- Who proposes names?
- What are the criteria?
- Who votes?

Ask Christophe Hamerling to precise what he has in mind (a mail on the TC should be great).

**Presentation of EasySOA**

MDU to send a message to the TC mailing list and project-leaders, asking for contribution.