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### Agenda

Next meeting
Action items review
Infrastructure
  - Git
  - Nexus
  - XWiki
Projects lifecycle
  - Utils Proposal
  - Spec Proposal
  - Kerneos Proposal
SQuAT
  - Sonar
  - Qualipso
  - Fossology
Misc
  - Guide for newbie projects
  - New Webmaster
  - TC Wiki Space
  - TC List cleanup
  - IP Clearance

### Next meeting

We are planning to host the next IRC TC meeting around the 20th of August (date to be fixed).

**Action GSA:** Doodle for next TC (around 20th of August)

### Action items review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who</th>
<th>What</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALE</strong></td>
<td>Retrieve Git mirroring scripts from JP Smets</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALE</strong></td>
<td>Antelink status</td>
<td>Antelink has been given</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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information about our maven repo, but I need to get back to them for a status on where they are up to.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GSA</strong></td>
<td>Advertise Nexus to all project leaders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need a “migration path”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALE</strong></td>
<td>M2Net proposal status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question was just asked to F.Exertier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALE</strong></td>
<td>Snmp4Cloud status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No recent news, ALE will meet them during C1 meeting next week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALE</strong></td>
<td>SlapOS mentoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALE to meet JP Smets at C1 Meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action ALE:** Get back in touch with Antelink

**Infrastructure**

**Git**

There is an OW2 presence on Github ([https://github.com/ow2](https://github.com/ow2)). We also host our own Git repositories ([http://gitorious.ow2.org](http://gitorious.ow2.org)). Mirroring is possible in both ways.

**CHA:** Do we need both?

**ALE:** One aspect is "owning" the source code on our infrastructure.

**CHA:** Not all projects have their sources neither hosted, nor mirrored, nor dropped for each release on OW2.

**ALE:** Some projects do not have it, but it's a minority.

**FGA:** we should homogenize the tools we use (same portal, same tracker, ...)

**ALE:** Not sure about homogenizing tools, some projects will use SVN, others Git. The same for bug tracking

**FGA:** Unified “frontend” with SVN or Git under the hood

**Action CHA:** Initiate Gitorious/Github discussion on the TC

**Nexus**

**GSA:** We're missing a migration path: as the old repository will be closed in some time, we need to prepare this.

**CHA:** Close old maven repository by the end of October, migrate during the summer to september

**Action GSA:** Prepare a notification mail to project leaders explaining the operation.
**XWiki**

No news on the project's XWiki migration.

**Action GSA:** Gather updates from J. Casery

**Projects lifecycle**

**Utils Proposal**

GSA: Proposal mostly written, waiting for the new wiki proposal form

**Spec Proposal**

GSA: Proposal mostly written, waiting for the new wiki proposal form

**Action GSA:** Wrote a mail to TC presenting that Specification project

**Kerneos Proposal**

GSA: J Legrand started to fill a proposal some time ago, but I don't know its current status

**SQuAT**

**Sonar**

No updates from Patrice, once finalized the TC need to review theses rules.

GSA: This will not be the official OW2 style, only a sensible default if project do not have any rules

**Qualipso**

**ALE:** EasyBeans is pilot project for running the OMM evaluation (http://www.qualipso.org/omm-champion), will be processed during this summer.

**Fossology**

**ALE:** I've run fossology on almost all OW2 mature projects, dashboards updated. Would be nice to automate this a bit more.

**Misc**

**Guide for newbie projects**

**FGA:** As a new member, the first difficulty we faced was to identify what tools are officially maintained and what are not

**ALE:** Thanks to **JCA**, we now have [http://www.ow2.org/view/ITInfrastructure/Overview](http://www.ow2.org/view/ITInfrastructure/Overview)

**PTU:** This page needs an introduction to be more useful

**ALE:** But a startup guide with recommended tools will be nice

**GSA:** **ALE** shared with MO a presentation covering this topic
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**Action ALE:** Share this document with TC, so that we can initiate wiki page(s)

**New Webmaster**

**ALE:** OW2 has recently hired a new employee: Olivier Lizounat. He will be responsible of the OW2 web site and is part of the management office.

**Action ALE:** Inform TC about Olivier's work

**TC Wiki Space**

**GSA:** We're working with Olivier Lizounat (OW2 web site webmaster) on the proposal form. It's an equivalent to the GForge backed project submission page ([http://forge.ow2.org/register/projectinfo.php](http://forge.ow2.org/register/projectinfo.php)), with some consistency updates.

**Action GSA:** Publish theses pages on production (with the help of Jeremy).

**TC List cleanup**

**Action ALE+GSA:** Review the TC list and spot active/inactive peoples

**IP Clearance**

The IP Clearance topic was recently raised on the mailing list after an OW2 Chameleon contribution.

**ALE:** I think the issue is 1) controlling the commits, and then 2) analysis of dependencies, licence compatibility, etc

**ALE:** Fossology may help for licence incompatibilities within a project, not with dependencies, I have to ask the Fossology guys.

**CHA:** Fossology only discover licence burried in code, it does not show incompatibilities

**ALE:** You can use blackduck if you have the money :)

**ALE:** OW2 OSLC complements Fossology, not sure about its status

**CES:** IP Clearance has 2 aspects: 1 being clean in term of license : not using incompatible components, 2 being cleared in term of code : the code is not copied from anywhere

**CES:** Licence clear may be achievable, but code clear is a dream

**GSA:** Code clear ? In Apache, this is achieved using a Contributor Licence Agreement (CLA). This is a legal paper that states that you are the AUTHOR the committed code.

**CES:** I doubt of the strict legality of this agreement in France, because your code belongs to your company...

**ALE:** OW2 does not have this, it doesn't even own the code

**GSA:** So it's up to the projects to setup their own CLA ? Not at the OW2 level ...

**ALE:** France Telecom legal department did a nice introduction of IP and open source, I can try to see whether I can share them
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GSA: Anyway, the Apache CLA says that you give your IP to Apache for your contributions, but is it mandatory for any CLA? Maybe it could be sufficient to have a CLA that states that your code contributions are IP clean?

GSA: The projects wanting to manage properly IP and copyright should not accept anyone to commit anything at anytime, they’ll have to get a signed CLA from each committer.

ALE: however, IP clean may mean several things: 1. the committer has been asked by his company to keep the company copyright. This may or may not be acceptable by the project. 2. the committer is OK to abandon copyright, in which case this should indeed be said

GSA: The projects fix the rules, if company do not want them they do not contribute

GSA: Is IP cleanness a SQuAT goal?

ALE: IP cleanness could be part of SQuAT, I agree

ALE: I think we could also ask Talend and community code, same with Bonita

GSA: I'm not sure that we can settle this for all OW2 projects since they are many different parties and goals and companies… But maybe we can have explanations on IP, a possible CLA that projects could re-use, … Some kind of guide for projects that takes care of copyright, IP cleanness

GSA: Then, it's up to each project to set up their own strategy

CES: yes, especially because the usage of each project is different

**Action GSA/ALE:** Initiate wiki page(s) introducing IP notions, giving explanations about how to keep project's code IP clean.

**Action GSA:** Answer the question “Can OW2 own a copyright?”

**Action ALE:** Ask Talend/BonitaSoft about their IP management